

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/644,243	14,243 08/20/2003		Bryan Clark	3675P2275cipl	6046	
23504	7590	08/25/2005		EXAMINER		
WEISS & M			LEE, PATRICK J			
4204 NORTH SCOTTSDAI				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	•			2878		

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/644,243	CLARK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Patrick J. Lee	2878				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	r. mmunication.			
Status						
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 A 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		merits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-4.8-17.19 and 21-28 is/are rejected 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 5-7.18 and 20 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 20 August 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2015.	a) accepted or b) ⊠objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to: See 37 CI	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0803.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		O-152)			

Art Unit: 2878

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the resonator as claimed in figure 11 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). Figure 1 discloses the resonator itself, while figures 3-5 disclose a resonator disposed in a scanning system. However, in figures 3-5, the resonator is not shown to have multiple reflective surfaces with an imaging lens positioned in between as described in claim 11. In regards to claims 8-9, the plano-convex lens is shown in figure 2B, but there is no showing of the second reflective surface. No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the examiner does not accept the changes, the

applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1-4, 8-17, 19, & 21-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6,150,666 to Engelhardt et al.

With respect to claim 1, Engelhardt et al disclose a device for imaging the surface profile of an object comprising a multiple reflection plate (31) as an optical resonator with multiple reflective surfaces for sustaining multiple internal reflections (see figure 15). Although Englehardt et al do not explicitly disclose an imaging lens positioned in between the multiple reflective surfaces, such would have been obvious to

Art Unit: 2878

one of ordinary skill in the art because the lens would allow for additional focusing capabilities.

With respect to claims 2-4, the modified Englehardt et al does not explicitly disclose the focal length of the lens as such, but such would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art because such an arrangement would prevent the reflection of multiple images should the second reflective surface be placed at a location besides the predetermined focal length.

With respect to claims 8-9, a plano-convex lens is not explicitly shown but such would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Englehardt et al accordingly because such would allow for the ability to focus specifically onto a single point.

With respect to claim 10, the use of a mask is not explicitly disclosed, but such would have been obvious to modify the teachings of Englehardt et al accordingly in order to avoid the potential for interference among light beams within the resonator with those outside.

With respect to claim 11, Engelhardt et al disclose a device for imaging the surface profile of an object comprising a multiple reflection plate (31) as an optical resonator with multiple reflective surfaces for sustaining multiple internal reflections (see figure 15). Englehardt et al also disclose the use of light source (3) as an illumination subsystem and detector (6) as a detection subsystem for detecting a beam reflected from surface (1). Although Englehardt et al do not explicitly disclose an imaging lens positioned in between the multiple reflective surfaces, such would have been obvious to

Art Unit: 2878

one of ordinary skill in the art because the lens would allow for additional focusing capabilities.

With respect to claim 12, the modified Englehardt et al do not explicitly disclose the device for use in an optical storage media, but such would have constituted obvious

intended use as the arrangement of the detector and light source are conducive for

such optical media applications.

With respect to claim 13, the modified Englehardt et al discloses the surface (1)

being under inspection.

With respect to claim 14, the modified Englehardt et al do not explicitly disclose

the mechanical movement of the resonator or the surface, but such would be obvious to

one of ordinary skill in the art in order to give the device zoom capability and to image

as much of the surface as possible.

With respect to claims 15-17, the modified Englehardt et al does not explicitly

disclose the focal length of the lens as such, but such would have been obvious to one

of ordinary skill in the art because such an arrangement would prevent the reflection of

multiple images should the second reflective surface be placed at a location besides the

predetermined focal length.

With respect to claim 19, the modified Englehardt et al disclose lens (29) as a

lens positioned between said imaging lens and first reflective surface for focusing an

image of points within the second region of the second reflective surface on

corresponding unique points.

Art Unit: 2878

With respect to claim 21, a plano-convex lens is not explicitly shown but such would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Englehardt et al accordingly because such would allow for the ability to focus specifically onto a single point.

With respect to claim 22, the use of a mask is not explicitly disclosed, but such would have been obvious to modify the teachings of Englehardt et al accordingly in order to avoid the potential for interference among light beams within the resonator with those outside.

With respect to claim 23, Engelhardt et al disclose a device for imaging the surface profile of an object comprising a multiple reflection plate (31) as an optical resonator with multiple reflective surfaces for the method step of reflecting light between multiple parallel reflective surfaces to provide multiple internal reflections (see figure 15). Englehardt et al disclose the step of imaging of a first reflective surface onto a second reflective surface. Although Englehardt et al do not explicitly disclose an imaging lens positioned in between the multiple reflective surfaces, such would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art because the lens would allow for additional focusing capabilities.

With respect to claim 24, the modified Englehardt et al disclose a light source (3) for scanning the surface (1) and detector (6) for detecting light emitted from surface (1).

With respect to claims 25-27, the modified Englehardt et al does not explicitly disclose the focal length of the lens as such, but such would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art because such an arrangement would prevent the reflection of

Art Unit: 2878

multiple images should the second reflective surface be placed at a location besides the predetermined focal length.

With respect to claim 28, the use of a mask is not explicitly disclosed, but such would have been obvious to modify the teachings of Englehardt et al accordingly in order to avoid the potential for interference among light beams within the resonator with those outside.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 5-7, 18, & 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

With respect to claims 5, 7, 18, & 20, the prior art of record does not disclose the corresponding points of a region displaced from second region to be a multiple of one-half of a predetermined optical wavelength.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US 6,847,029 B2 to Hill disclose a detector array utilizing resonant cavities.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick J. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Art Unit: 2878

2440. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 am to

5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David P. Porta can be reached on (571) 272-2444. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patrick J. Lee Examiner

Page 8

Art Unit 2878

PJL

August 17th, 2005

Stephone B. Allen

Primary Examiner